

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,890	HARASHIMA, HAJIME	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonid Kravets	2189	

All Participants:

(1) Leonid Kravets.

(2) Howard Bernstein.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 11 October 2005
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's makes of record that attempts to reach the attorney of record, Mr. Osha failed as he is now deceased. The call to Mr. Osha's law firm was returned by attorney Mr. Howard Bernstein. Questions discussed revolved around claim interpretation. Examiner was seeking to clarify text of claims 10 and 11. Specifically, whether claim 10 is supposed to look like claim 5 and a clarification of the language of claim 11, 2nd paragraph. Mr. Bernstein faxed the questions to the applicant..